

A Word from Captain Obvious

Any network change project imposes risks.

New technology

RISK

Comprehensive planning

RISK

Best Laid Plans

Feasibility
Study
(What are the objectives?)



Plan
(How do I implement?)



Project Executables

Detailed design
Configurations
Execution scripts
Schedules
Resource allocations
Backout plans



Readiness
Assessment
(What must be changed?)

Remembrance of Plans Past

- It always takes longer than you expect
- Its always more complicated than you expect
- It makes no sense to deploy anything if you cannot manage it!
- It makes no sense to deploy anything if you cannot secure it!

When You Go For Funding...

IPv6 is an infrastructure issue, not a service issue

Address Design

- Short Term: IPv6 addresses are new to people
 - Give them time
 - Design for your operations personnel
 - Have a plan to inform them
- The first address plan is seldom the last address plan
 - Keep address design flexible throughout planning stage
- Long Term: IPv6 addresses will be easier
 - If the design is done right
 - If the format makes sense

It Sucks That We Can't Dual Stack Everything

- Good plan 10 years ago
 - Dual stack everything (plenty of IPv4 addresses)
 - Quietly decommission IPv4
- Not enough IPv4 addresses left to do this
- Tunneling, NAT-PT, ALGs are a necessary evil
- But, will accelerate full adoption of IPv6
 - Can't go back
 - Complicated transitional networks drive move to simplicity
 - How to simplify? Get rid of IPv4!

DNS: Name That Tune

- Poor DNS planning is behind many IPv6 "failures"
- Issues are well documented
 - RFC 3596
 - RFC 3901
 - RFC 4074
 - RFC 4472
- Issues to consider:
 - Transport
 - Dedicated vs dual stack resolvers
 - Name space fragmentation
 - Placement (NAT-PT, DNS ALGs, load balancers)
 - Applications
 - Bad implementations

Tunneling: You Can't Get There from Here

- Latency problems usually caused by tunnels
- Know where your tunnel endpoints are
- Distant 6to4 relays
- Broken Teredo servers

Don't Buy Vaporware

- A salesperson's job is to sell
 - (Captain Obvious strikes again)
- "Supports IPv6" is meaningless
- Be specific about what a product / interface must support
 - Back to thorough planning
- Sometimes roadmaps must be accepted
 - But you must understand the risks

Testing 1... 2... 3...

- Vendors depend on field experience to mature new code
- IPv4 assumptions cannot apply to IPv6!
- Production deployment is not the time to find:
 - Compliance problems
 - Interoperability problems
 - Functional, performance, or security bugs

IPv6 Best Practices

- There ain't none
 - (Well, not very many)

If you're deploying IPv6 now, you're creating the best practices

(Don't be scared!)

Train Thyself

- IPv6 books are okay, but...
- Network!
 - NANOG
 - Cisco Networkers
 - IETF
 - You're here, aren't you?

www.rmv6tf.org

Questions?

I will use Google before asking dumb questions. I will use Google before asking dumb questions.

