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Informal experiments with IPv6-only Wi-Fi

TL;DR version

• Scope
  Series of experiments inside Cisco and at Public Conferences (e.g. Cisco Live) with IPv6-only Wi-Fi

• Network
  Core network dual-stacked
  Access to ‘legacy’ Internet through a NAT64
  Tried both dedicated and shared Access Points with a “try me” IPv6 SSID

• Logistics
  Volunteer based support – Red T-shirts offered as incentive
  Each event was contained within a (very large) conference room, floor or campus building
  Email alias and wiki for support and report issues, findings – limited publicity
  Kept list of applications that worked/didn’t work (user-reported)
  Kept traffic statistics

• Results
  Things went from strange to better
How did we get into this?

Volunteer to help with network access at some public events

CHRONOLOGY

1. IPv6-only (trials)

2. IPv6-only (production)

CLEU 2012

WIPv6C 2012

CLUS 2012

CLEU 2013

WIPv6C 2013

Legend

WIPv6C : World IPv6 Congress
CLEU : Cisco Live EU
α : Cisco Alpha Network
CLUS: Cisco Live US
Numbers denote events featured in this presentation
Blue : Public event
Grey : Internal
Background on these events

- Series of world wide Cisco events
- Mix of technical education and product show case
- Over 17,000 attendees expected in US in 2013
- Network connectivity for attendees, booths, on-site services (registration ...), conference traffic (video), NOC

- IPv6 focused event in Paris
- Targeted ~500 attendees in 2013
- Co-located with World MPLS Congress and SDN congress
- Network connectivity for attendees, booths, on-site services (registration ...), conference traffic (video), NOC
1. Cisco α Network

A private, small-scale BYOD experiment

- **Goals**

  Saw some “surprises” on a public dual-stack network, wanted to investigate internally

  **Discovered clients aggressively creating new temporary IPv6 address - used temporary**

  **Workaround : set short first-hop lifetimes for binding table**

  Most surprises were related to RF environment

  Wanted to investigate client/network/behavior off-line

  Also decided to try IPv6-only Wi-Fi to test application behavior

- Deploy IPv6-only SSID during Cisco systems engineer training events and engineering plug-fests
Cisco Alpha Network Findings

- Network and client issues
  - **Different OS policies generate new privacy addresses at different times**
  - DHCPv6 not supported on some OS [versions]
  - Some mobile OS’ don’t support IPv6-only at all – at best workaround with IPv4 + ACL
  - Network devices still need IPv4 too
  - **Happy Eyeballs implementation varies across platforms/browsers**
  - Subtle First Hop/RA timer interactions
  - Certain devices have a high sensitivity to SSID switching (with dual stack too)
  - **Very few mobile clients support IPv6 on radio interfaces**

- Our network setup
  - **An old IPv4 multicast filter impacted [tunneled] RA distribution 🙁**
  - An over-engineered network had too many switches sending RAs: some reached clients, some didn’t
  - Our DNS server address is not easy to remember (next time use eg. 2001:DB8::53)

- User Experience
  - **Many users couldn’t tell if they were using IPv6 or not**
    - Test-ipv6.com, IPvFOO, IPv6 toolkit app etc are very useful – thank you!
    - Different device configurations (IT-installed, self-installed) meant different out of the box behavior (e.g. IPv6 on/off)
    - Poor user experience == frequent disconnects and long wait to associate (IPv4 multicast issue)
    - Recorded 160 applications tried by users (at internal events)
    - **Generally collaboration applications broke through NAT64**

Repeating “Turn It On” events at local sales offices through 2013
2. Cisco Live US 2012

A discreet public BYOD experiment

- The event network was fully dual-stacked
- Again volunteer-staffed, decided to try a larger experiment
- Semi-private IPv6-only Wi-Fi
  - IPv6 servers go native, IPv4 servers go through NAT64 and DNS64, using SLAAC + stateless DHCP
  - Rest of network is dual-stacked
  - SSID: ciscov6, password: ciscolive2012
    - SSID is not broadcasted (you have to type it in), not available everywhere
    - Special hack to enable certain devices to function
      - Giving all devices 100.64.0.0/16 address
      - IPv4 traffic is blocked
      - Happy Eyeballs and IPv6 preference provides IPv6-only-like service
- Also had IPv6-only Wi-Fi in the “World of Solutions” demo area
- Network settings – first hop timer setting
  - Access devices care about IP/MAC bindings – for forwarding and to avoid various forms of spoofing attacks
  - First hop binding tables have limited space
  - First hop binding entries are flushed periodically to make sure there are free entries for new clients or addresses, which creates sensitivity to client prefix lifetimes with SLAAC
  - **Short timers are good if there is client volatility on SSID; long timers are good to reduce ND chatter, allow for long device sleep** …
  - Experimental value for conference environment ~ 30 minutes. => 30 minutes prefix lifetime …
Cisco Live US 2012 findings

- **Client issues**
  - Need special hack for some devices to work, hence IPv4 on the IPv6 network
  - Some devices couldn’t reach DNS64 server
  - Intermittent cases of IPv4 preferred over IPv6 at demo station – maybe due to crowded RF or maybe because of client/browser AF selection
  - Some problems seen at previous events fixed in new versions of software, but also saw new problems on IPv6-only network
  - Saw a disparity of client software versions (expected), so some “fixed problems” were still out there

- **Network design issues**
  - Address allocation conundrum – SLAAC is easy, but requires timer tuning. DHCPv6 avoids a lot of the tuning exercises, but not supported on all platforms
  - Choice of first hop timers means clients shouldn’t switch/flap SSIDs quickly and repeatedly
  - **FHS binding table management logic changes to accommodate clients’ behavior**

- Changed First Hop default settings in WLC code

- To know more
3. World IPv6 Congress 2013

Officially supported, BYOD IPv6-only WiFi

• Event featured 3 SSIDs: Open dual-stack, Open demo (NAT64), WPK2 IPv6 only

• Used an “IPv5 Cookbook”
  Allocate IPv4 & drop any IPv4 traffic at first L3 hop
  Used DHCPv6
  Use WLC 7.3 (on Cisco Wireless LAN Controllers)

**Enable first hop security, default timers work**
  Run IPv6 multicast over multicast CAPWAP (multicast-multicast mode)
  Enable multicast suppression on the IPv4 network to limit excess multicast solicited RAs
  Use v4/v6 ACLs to drop Bonjour traffic
  Use NAT64 to reach “legacy Internet”

• Progress with some major client OS’ but inconsistent behaviors persist
  Cache time-outs for privacy addresses can result in device trying to use a privacy address after sleep
  that is blocked by the first hop
  Certain devices/applications use IPv4-only reachability tests

• Decreed the experiment a success

• Next year, IPv4 access will only be provided on request
What we learned

• Before IPv6 turn on
  A fair amount of selling is still required to overcome fear of the unknown
  Knowledge of IPv6 outside core group(s)/enthusiasts can be superficial

• Support
  No shortage of volunteers (T-shirt effect?) and lots of enthusiasm but actual
  support provided by small groups of usual suspects
  Real debug/troubleshooting skills are poorly distributed

• Dual stack
  Worked well

• IPv6-only works, but …
  See subtle network / client interactions
  And not so subtle stack differences
  And unsubtle end-point behavioral differences
  Also uncover old design “short-cuts”
  And need design changes e.g. security and management planes

• There are things to fix
Some Measurements
IPv6 Statistics @ Cisco Live US 2012

Measure: Unique MACs with IPv6 LL address
IPv6 global address
IPv6 with global EUI address
IPv4 global address

Measurements de-duplicate privacy addresses

In 6 months *:
Dual stack-capable devices increased from 47.5% to 77.5%
IPv6-using devices increased by 87.3%

* Between IPv6 World Congress, Jan 2012
And Cisco Live US: June 2012
Dual stack capable : IPv4 global + IPv6 LL
IPv6 using : IPv6 global

Data from dual-stack production network
World IPv6 Congress

Client stats: 2012 vs 2013

Max clients: 586 → 875

Using IPv6: 232 → 584

IPv4-only: 317 → 246

Number of clients using IPv6 39% → 66%
IPv4 / IPv6 Traffic stats 2012 vs 2013

IPv4: 6.15Mb → 6.76Mb = 109% of 2012
IPv6: 792Kb → 1020Kb = 129% of 2012
World IPv6 Congress 2013

High-level results from our “almost IPv6-only” experiment

- 96 hosts: with IPv4 address (baseline total clients on network)
- 85 hosts: have IPv6 link-local address
- 60 hosts: have global IPv6 address
- 11 hosts: no IPv6?
- 25 hosts: blocked??
Summary